



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/525,997	02/28/2005	Kerstin Feldmann	2002P00982WOU'S	2536
46726 7590 05/29/2008 BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 100 BOSCH BOULEVARD NEW BERN, NC 28562				
EXAMINER				
PRICE, CARL D				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3749				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/29/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/525,997

Applicant(s)

FELDMANN ET AL.

Examiner

CARL D. PRICE

Art Unit

3749

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 February 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 8-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 8-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SG/US)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02/28/2005
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 10 and 17 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 10 the recitation "... is arranged one of said opposite side walls ..." should be - -... is arranged **on** one of said opposite side walls ... - -. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 13, the recitation "including each of said displaceable carriages includes a locating position at least in said removed position" is both vague and indefinite since it is unclear what structure would necessarily go to make up the "a locating position".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims Rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b)

Claims 8, 9, 11-14 and 16-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **US 2130167 (Weiskittel)**.

FIG. 3






FIG. 4.



ANTON H. WEISKITTEL

Inventor


FIG. 6.



ANTON H. WEISKITTEL

Inventor

FIG. 7.



Regarding claims 8, 9, 11-14 and 16-21, US 2130167 (Weiskittel) shows (figure 3) and discloses a baking oven having:

Art Unit: 3749



- a baking chamber (2, 17);
- a baking rack (6);
- a holding part (27, 35) horizontally guided in said baking chamber and receiving and holding the baking rack, the holding part and said baking rack displaceable between a baking position with said baking rack inside said baking chamber and a removed position with said baking rack located, at least in part, outside and in front of said baking chamber; and
- two hooked rail retaining elements (23, 35), coupled to one another by a cross bracing (27), and arranged on opposite side walls of said baking chamber and at a distance from at least one of the top wall and the bottom wall of said baking chamber;
- each of the two retaining elements (35) is guided by a displaceable carriage (23, 42, 43) mounted on a guide rail (41) with rollers;
- wherein the guide rails are affixed to opposite side walls (3, 8), via a bottom wall (10), of the baking chamber.

Regarding **claims 13 and 20**, it is noted that the displaceable carriages (23, 35, 43, etc.) of **US 2130167 (Weiskittel)** move along with the baking rack (6) as it is oriented in the removed position, where the baking rack positioned, at least in part, outside and in front of said baking chamber (see figure 3). Therefore, the position(s) where the displaceable carriages (23, 35, 43, etc.) of **US 2130167 (Weiskittel)** reside when the baking rack (6) is located at the removed position (i.e. – “baking rack located, at least in part, outside and in front of said baking chamber”) is/are the same as applicant’s broadly claimed displaceable carriage “locating position”.

Regarding **claims 15 and 26**, the closed end of the track member (40, 41) necessarily form “a forward and a rear stop position” by limiting the forward and rearward movement of the displaceable carriages (23, 35, 43, etc.) of **US 2130167 (Weiskittel)**.

Claims Rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b)

Claims 8-11, 13, 16, 17, 20 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **US 1063183 (Christian)**.



Regarding claims 8-11, 13, 16, 17, 20, and 26, US 1063183 (Christian) shows (figure 3) and discloses a baking oven having:

- a baking chamber (2);
- a baking rack (4, 6) insertable in said baking chamber;
- a holding part receiving and holding said baking rack and horizontally guided in said baking chamber;-
 - wherein the holding part and said baking rack are displaceable between a baking position with said baking rack inside said baking chamber and a removed position with said baking rack located, at least in part, outside and in front of said baking chamber; and
 - the holding part including two retaining elements (see figure 5; element 15, 16, 17) arranged on opposite side walls of said baking chamber and at a distance from at least one of the top wall and the bottom wall of said baking chamber;
 - wherein each of the two retaining elements is guided by a displaceable carriage (18, 19);
 - wherein each displaceable carriage includes a hooked rail (11) and which is affixed to on one of said opposite side walls of said baking chamber in a middle height region of said baking chamber;

Regarding **claims 13 and 20**, it is noted that the displaceable carriages (23, 35, 43, etc.) of **US 2130167 (Weiskittel)** move along with the baking rack (6) as it is oriented in the removed position, where the baking rack positioned, at least in part, outside and in front of said baking chamber (see figure 3). Therefore, the position(s) where the displaceable carriages (23, 35, 43, etc.) of **US 2130167 (Weiskittel)** reside when the baking rack (6) is located at the removed position (i.e. – “baking rack located, at least in part, outside and in front of said baking chamber”) is/are the same as applicant’s broadly claimed displaceable carriage “locating position”.

Regarding **claim 26**, the closed end of the track member (40, 41) necessarily form “a forward and a rear stop position” by limiting the forward and rearward movement of the displaceable carriages (23, 35, 43, etc.) of **US 2130167 (Weiskittel)**.

Art Unit: 3749

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims Rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

Claims 12, 18, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **US 1063183 (Christian)** in view of **US 2130167 (Weiskittel)**.

US 1063183 (Christian) shows and discloses the invention substantially as set forth in the claims with possible exception to:

- the hooked rails being coupled to one another by a cross bracing;
- the hooked rail having a plurality of grooves.

US 2130167 (Weiskittel) teaches, from applicant's same oven shelf field of endeavor, selectively securing cooking trays (6) on hooked rails (35) which are coupled to one another by a cross bracing (27).

In regard to **claims 12, 18 and 19**, for the purpose of providing structural stability by forming a unified frame structure, and for providing means for permitting selective positioning of the tray, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the

tray supports to include cross braced hooked rails having a plurality to vertically spaced grooves, in view of the teaching of US 2130167 (Weiskittel).

Claims Rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

Claims 14, 15 and 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **US 1063183 (Christian)** in view of **US 6938617 (Le et al)**, and supported by **US 6789561 (Doberstein)**.

US 1063183 (Christian) shows and discloses the invention substantially as set forth in the claims with possible exception to:

- each of the displaceable carriages is roller-mounted on one of said guide rails;
- wherein the roller-mounted on one of said guide rails by at least one roller element above and below of said guide rail;
- the displaceable carriages is roller-mounted on one of said guide rails by one of more roller element above and below of said guide rail and said roller element above said guide rail is offset laterally from said roller element below said guide rail.

US 6938617 (Le et al) teaches (figure 4), from applicant's same oven shelf field of endeavor, providing tray guide rails (115) forming displaceable carriages with as many as two upper and two lower ball bearing type roller elements for the purpose of reducing the friction between the moving parts and thereby reduce the force necessary to move the tray assembly.

US 6789561 (Doberstein) teaches (figure 4), from applicant's same oven shelf field of endeavor, that it is known to provide telescopic guides with ball-bearings 6 (FIG. 4), and alternatively rollers or rolls 6a (FIG. 2A).

In regard to **claims 14, 15 and 20-25**, for the purpose of reducing the friction between the moving parts and thereby reduce the force necessary to move the tray assembly, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide the **US 1063183 (Christian)** tray guide rails and therefore displaceable carriages with as many as two upper and two lower

Art Unit: 3749

ball bearing type roller elements, in view of the teaching of **US 6938617 (Le et al)**. Further in regard to **claims 14, 15 and 20-25**, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use either ball bearing or roller type bearing as a suitable alternative friction reducing means, in view of the teaching of **US 6789561 (Doberstein)**.

Conclusion

See the attached USPTO for, 892 for prior art made of record and not relied upon which is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

USPTO CUSTOMER CONTACT INFORMATION

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carl D. Price whose telephone number is (571) 272-4880. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday between 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven B. McAllister can be reached on (571) 272-6785. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Carl D. Price/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3749